A NOTE ON UNEQUAL PROBABILITY SAMPLING

Ву

C. L. AGARWAL University of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Received: February, 1978)

1. INTRODUCTION

In unequal probability sampling without replacement (Horvitz and Thompson, [2], it is well known that for n=2

$$\pi_i = p_i \left(1 + A - \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} \right) \qquad \dots (1.1)$$

$$\pi_{ij} = p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{1 - p_i} + \frac{1}{1 - p_j} \right)$$
 ... (1.2)

Where π_i and π_{ij} denote the inclusion probabilities of *i*th unit and a pair of $(i, j)^{th}$ units in the sample respectively and

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i / (1 - p_i)$$

Yates and Grundy [3] have pointed out that the unequal probability sampling scheme without replacement for n=2 is not equivalent to the selection of a pair of units with replacement and rejecting those samples in which the same unit is repeated.

Aggarwal and Goel [1] have proposed the following sampling scheme with unequal probabilities with replacement which gives the same inclusion probabilities π_i and π_{ij} as given by Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) respectively.

'Select two units with replacement, one with probabilities p_i (i=1, 2,..., N) and the other with revised probabilities

$$p_{i}^{\bullet} = \frac{p_{i} (1 - p_{i})^{-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} (1 - p_{i})^{-1}}$$

Reject the sample if the same unit is selected at both the draws. Go on drawing samples of size two till we get a sample of two different units.'

It is obvious that for n=2, the sampling scheme proposed by Aggarwal and Goel (1977) is complicated than usual sampling scheme with unequal probability without replacement. In this note, for n=2 a simple sampling scheme with unequal probability with replacement is proposed which satisfies the inclusion probabilities π_i and π_{ij} as given by Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) respectively.

2. Proposed Sampling Scheme and Calculation of Inclusion Probabilities

Let p_i denote the probability of drawing *i*th unit at any particular draw for i=1, 2,...N. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1$. Let the units

selected at the first draw be u_{i_1} . We go on making subsequent draws till we get a unit different from u_{i_1} .

It can be easily seen that for the proposed sampling scheme, the inclusion probability for ith unit in the sample is given by

$$\pi_{i} = p_{i} \sum_{j(\neq i)} p_{j} (1 + p_{i} + p_{i}^{2} + ...) + \sum_{j(\neq i)} p_{j} p_{i} (1 + p_{j} + p_{j}^{2} + ...)$$

$$= \frac{p_{i}}{1 - p_{i}} \sum_{j(\neq i)} \frac{p_{j}}{1 - p_{j}}$$

$$= p_{i} \left(1 + A - \frac{p_{i}}{1 - p_{i}} \right)$$

and the inclusion probability for ith and jth units in the sample is given by

$$\pi_{ij} = p_i p_j (1 + p_i + p_i^2 + \dots) + p_j p_i (1 + p_j + p_j^2 + \dots)$$

$$= p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{1 - p_i} + \frac{1}{1 - p_j} \right)$$

Thus the proposed sampling scheme will also give the same estimator \hat{Y}_{HT} (Horvitz, Thompson estimator of population total) and its variance as obtained under Horvitz and Thompson's sampling scheme.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Dr. B. D. Tikkiwal, senior Professor of Statistics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aggarwal, S.K. and Goel, B.B.P.S. (1977).

 A note on unequal probability sampling. Jour Ind. Soc. Agri. Stat. 29, No. 2, pp. 98-100.
- [2] Horvitz, D.G. and Thompson, D.J. (1952). A generalisation of sampling without replacement from a finite universe. Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc., Vol. 47, pp. 663-685.
- [3] Yates, F. and Grundy, P.M. (1953).

 Selection wor from within strata with probabilities proportional to size. Jour. Royal Stat. Soc., B 15, pp. 253-261.